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The Affordability of MyPlate: An Analysis of SNAP Benefits and the Actual Cost of Eating According to the Dietary Guidelines
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# ABSTRACT

Objective: To estimate the funds required to support a MyPlate diet and to estimate the additional costs needed for *Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program* recipients to adhere to the MyPlate diet.

## Design: Using the US Department of Agriculture’s (USDA’s) MyPlate dietary guidelines that specify recommendations for individuals based on age and gender and retail price data from the USDA, the cost of following USDA’s MyPlate guidelines for consuming 3 meals daily was estimated for the following individuals: children, adolescents, female adults, male adults, female seniors, male seniors, and a 4-person family.

Main Outcome Measures: Cost of consuming a MyPlate diet, including canned, frozen, and fresh produce as part of the diet.

Analysis: Descriptive analysis of the cost of consuming a MyPlate diet.

Results: Consuming a MyPlate diet consisting of only fresh fruits and vegetables is the most expensive diet. The monthly additional costs on an individual basis is the largest for boys aged 12–17 years ($75/mo) because they have the largest quantity of food consumed compared with all other gender and age groups. The monthly cost for a family of 4 ranged from $1,109 to $1,249/mo.

Conclusions and Implications: The monetary amount of *Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program*

## benefits may be insufficient to support a healthy diet recommended by federal nutrition guidelines.
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INTRODUCTION

Over the past 30 years, obesity rates among adults in the US have more than doubled and approximately two-thirds of adults are currently overweight or obese.[1,2](#_bookmark29) Consuming healthy foods, including fruits and vegetables, whole grains, and lean

proteins, can prevent weight gain and reduce the risk of diet-related chronic diseases, including heart dis- ease, diabetes, and some cancers.[3](#_bookmark30) Low-income individuals are more

vulnerable to diet-related chronic dis- ease.[4](#_bookmark31) Having limited resources is 1 reason why low-income individuals are less likely than higher-income in- dividuals to adhere to the federal Die- tary Guidelines for Americans.[5](#_bookmark32) This includes not being able to afford pur- chasing healthier foods, lack of geographic access to healthy foods, and not having time to prepare and cook healthy foods.[5,6](#_bookmark32)

The US Federal Government created the *Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program* (SNAP) in 1939 to

alleviate food insecurity.[7](#_bookmark33) Since its cre- ation, SNAP has shifted its focus to include improving dietary intake.[7](#_bookmark33) It is the largest federal food assistance program that offers beneﬁts usable as cash for the purchase of food by lower-income individuals in the US,

serving 27% of all children and approx- imately 21 million households.[8](#_bookmark34) Gener- ally, households whose incomes are

<130% of the poverty level and pass an asset test are eligible for SNAP. Fam- ilies can spend their beneﬁts on foods to be eaten at home. The average monthly beneﬁt per person is $125 and $254 per household, and nearly
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all beneﬁts are spent by the end of the month.[8](#_bookmark34) In 2015, SNAP provided more than $75 billion in beneﬁts to approxi- mately 47 million people.[9](#_bookmark35) Most of these people lived in households with children.

Overall, research showed that SNAP is effective at reducing food insecu- rity.[10-12](#_bookmark36) One study indicated that SNAP reduced food insecurity by approximately 30%.[10](#_bookmark36) In addition to
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Food Category Type Processing $/Cup $/Oz Protein Lean beef,[a](#_bookmark1) poultry,[b](#_bookmark1) eggs[c](#_bookmark2) – 0.21 – Protein (vegetarian) Beans Frozen,[d](#_bookmark3) canned,[e](#_bookmark3) dried[f](#_bookmark4) 0.49 0.08

Table 1. Average Price of Protein, Fruits, Vegetables, Dairy, and Grains, in 2015 Dollars

Beans Frozen[d](#_bookmark3) 0.64 0.10

Protein Beans Canned, dried[e](#_bookmark3),[f](#_bookmark4) 0.42 0.07

Fruits Fruit Fresh[g](#_bookmark5) 0.82 –

Fruit Frozen[h](#_bookmark6) 0.72 –

Fruit Canned[i](#_bookmark7) 0.89 –

Fruit Dried 0.84 –

Vegetables Vegetables Fresh[j](#_bookmark8) 0.88 –

Vegetables Frozen[k](#_bookmark9) 0.92 –

Vegetables Canned[l](#_bookmark10) 0.78 –

Vegetables and beans Frozen 0.80 –

Vegetables and beans Canned, dried 0.61 –

Dairy Low-fat dairy[m](#_bookmark11) – 0.27 –

Grains Whole grains[n](#_bookmark12) – – 0.21

Non-whole grains[o](#_bookmark13) – – 0.12

aBeef price was for lean and extra-lean ground beef ($/lb); bThe retail price for poultry was the average of fresh whole chicken; chicken breast, bone-in; chicken legs, bone-in; boneless breast chicken; and whole frozen turkey; cEgg prices were for grade A (cents/dozen); dAverage price of lima beans; eAverage prices of black beans, blackeye peas, great northern beans, kidney beans, lima beans, navy beans, and pinto beans; fAverage prices of black beans, blackeye peas, great northern beans, kidney beans, lentils, lima beans, navy beans, and pinto beans; gAverage prices of apples, apricots, bananas, blackberries, canta- loupes, grapefruit, grapes, honeydew, kiwis, mangoes, nectarines, oranges, papayas, peaches, pears, pineapples, plums, pomegranates, raspberries, strawberries, tangerines, and watermelons; hAverage prices of apples, mixed berries, black- berries, grapefruit, grapes, oranges, peaches, pineapples, raspberries, and strawberries; iAverage prices of apples, peaches, pears, and pineapples; jAverage prices of acorn squash, artichokes, asparagus, avocados, broccoli, brussels sprouts, butternut squash, cabbage, carrots, cauliflower, sweet corn, cucumbers, green peppers, kale, iceberg lettuce, romaine lettuce, mushrooms, mustard greens, okra, onions, radishes, red peppers, spinach, summer squash, sweet potatoes, tomatoes, and turnip greens; kAverage prices of artichokes, asparagus, broccoli, brussels sprouts, sweet corn, green peas, kale, mixed veg- etables, mustard greens, okra, spinach, and turnip greens; lAverage prices of artichokes, asparagus, beets, cabbage, sweet corn, green peas, kale, mixed vegetables, mustard greens, olives, pumpkin, spinach, tomatoes, and turnip greens; mDairy pri- ces were the average of low-fat milk, low-fat cheese, low-fat yogurt, and other dairy; nWhole grains include the average of whole-grain bread, rolls, rice, pasta, cereal, whole-grain flour and mixes, and whole-grain frozen/ready to cook grains; oNon-whole grains are the average of other bread, rolls, rice, pasta, cereal, other flour and mixes, and other frozen/ready to cook grains.

Notes: Data are from the US Department of Agriculture.[22](#_bookmark44) All prices were adjusted to 2015 dollars.

reducing food insecurity, there was also research to support that SNAP im- proves child and adult health out- comes, including physical and mental health.[13](#_bookmark37) Despite these positive ﬁnd- ings, many families receiving SNAP report signiﬁcant ﬁnancial barriers to

purchasing healthy food with their beneﬁts.[14,15](#_bookmark38) This may partially explain why studies on SNAP recipients' diets showed that compared with non- recipients, SNAP recipients have lower diet quality.[16,17](#_bookmark39) Although SNAP is meant to be a supplementary aid program and is not intended to ﬁnance the entire cost of eating a healthy diet, recent federal budget proposals suggested decreasing the amount of SNAP beneﬁts available

for food.[18](#_bookmark40) Reducing the amount of SNAP beneﬁts available for eligible in- dividuals may decrease the program's ability to support healthier diets among food-insecure individuals and families. In 2011, the USDA calculated the cost of various food plans (low-cost,

moderate cost, and liberal) based on federal dietary guidelines.[19](#_bookmark41) The orga- nization determined that adhering to a nutritious low-cost diet would cost

$147/wk for a family of 4 (4 adults aged 20–50 years and 2 children aged 6–8 and 9–11 years). The report concluded that it would be possible for people to eat healthier, including more vegetables and fruits, and spend less on food. However, the USDA's analysis was not based on the current

federal dietary guidelines, and calcula- tions were based on the 2005 Food Pyramid dietary guidelines instead of the more recent MyPlate, which re- placed the Food Pyramid in 2010.[20](#_bookmark42) Several notable changes included re- naming the meat and beans and

milk groups to the protein and dairy groups to allow for lacto-ovo vege- tarian and vegan eating patterns, respectively.[21](#_bookmark43) In addition, MyPlate modiﬁed the structure and composi- tion of vegetable subgroups to pro- mote diversity of vegetables.[21](#_bookmark43) Because of the changes in food groups and sub- groups from the Food Pyramid to My- Plate, the USDA's 2011 analysis using the Food Pyramid to calculate the low-cost diet may not be as accurate.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Group | Age, y | Fruit, cups | Vegetables, cups | Grains, oz | Whole Grains, oz[a](#_bookmark15) | Protein, oz | Dairy, cups |
| Children | 2–3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1.5 | 2 | 2 |
|  | 4–8 | 1.25 | 1.5 | 5 | 2.5 | 4 | 2.5 |
| Girls | 9–13 | 1.5 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
|  | 14–18 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| Boys | 9–13 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
|  | 14–18 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 6.5 | 3 |
| Women | 19–30 | 2 | 2.5 | 6 | 3 | 5.5 | 3 |
|  | 31–50 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
|  | $51 | 1.5 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 3 |
| Men | 19–30 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 6.5 | 3 |
|  | 31–50 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 3 |
|  | $51 | 2 | 2.5 | 6 | 3 | 5.5 | 3 |

aMyPlate recommends 50% of grains to be whole grain. Note: Data are from the US Department of Agriculture.[28](#_bookmark50)

Because research is often used to inform policy, an analysis using the most current data is needed to inform policy makers regarding the true costs of healthy eating, so that they have up-to-date research when making pol- icy decisions.

More recently, the USDA estimated the cost of satisfying fruit and vege- table requirements under MyPlate guidelines based on 2013 retail scan- ner data.[22](#_bookmark44) Researchers found that consuming MyPlate levels of fruits

and vegetables (fresh, canned, frozen, dried, and 100% juice) would cost between $2.10 and $2.60 per day, or 47–57 cents/cup-equivalent. For those following a low-cost diet, the USDA found that a family of 4 would need to spend, on average, #50 cents/cup- equivalent.

Table 3. Corresponding Age Groups from *Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program* Household Data and MyPlate Dietary Guidelines

Age Group, y (*Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program* Recipient Data)

Children 2–4 Children 2–3

To date, no studies have calculated the cost of following MyPlate's dietary recommendations for all food groups (fruits, vegetables, grains, dairy, and protein). To address this knowledge gap, the purposes of this article were to (1) estimate the total costs required to support a MyPlate diet, and (2) esti- mate the additional costs needed for SNAP recipients to adhere to a nutri- tionally sound diet.

# METHODS

Data Sources

This study used the most current pub- licly available data from the USDA's retail prices and SNAP eligibility data, which were necessary to conduct a thorough analysis. Monthly retail price data for beef, poultry cuts, and

Corresponding MyPlate Age Group, y

eggs from the USDA's Economic Re- search Service were averaged over the 12 months in 2015 ([Table 1](#_bookmark0)).[23](#_bookmark45) The average meat price was calculated us- ing the average price of lean beef, poultry, turkey, and eggs to date.[23](#_bookmark45) All prices were in dollars per pound and converted to dollars per ounce. The prices for whole grains, other grains, and dairy were obtained from the USDA's 2010 quarterly data for grains and dairy.[24](#_bookmark46) The data spanned 99 market groups, 4 regions, and 9 di- visions. The prices were adjusted for inﬂation using the 2015 gross domes- tic product deﬂator and converted from dollars per 100 g to dollars per ounce. Fruits and vegetable prices were from the USDA's Fruit and Vege- table Prices 2013 dataset. Prices were

recorded in dollars per cup and adjusted to 2015 dollars using the gross domestic product deﬂator.[25](#_bookmark47) The authors averaged prices for (1) all fresh, frozen, and canned fruit;

(2) all fresh, frozen, and canned vegetables; and (3) all frozen, canned, and dried beans. Juice products were excluded from price

estimates.

Children 5–7 Children 4–8

Table 2. MyPlate Daily Nutrition Guidelines, by Gender and Age Group

Girls 8–11 Girls 9–13

Girls 12–15 Girls 14–18

Girls 16–17 Girls 14–18

Women 18–50 Women 19–30 and 31–50

Women $51 Women $51

Men 18–50 Men 19–30 and 31–50

Men $51 Men $51

Note: Data are from the US Department of Agriculture.[28,29](#_bookmark50)

To estimate the funds needed to adhere to a MyPlate diet, SNAP eligi- bility data by income and household size were used from the USDA's Food, Nutrition, and Consumer Ser- vices.[26](#_bookmark48) Review by an institutional review board was not required for this study because human subjects were not involved, as per US Depart- ment of Health and Human Services guidelines.[27](#_bookmark49)

Age–Gender Groups

Table 4. Average *Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program* Monthly Benefit for Individuals in 2015

Children

Estimated Average *Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program* Monthly Benefit ($) for Individuals in 2015

18–50 years), female seniors (aged

$51 years), and male seniors (aged

$51 years) ([Table 2](#_bookmark14)). Because the fed- eral dietary guidelines' age categories are different from the SNAP recipient age categories,[29](#_bookmark51) USDA MyPlate age categories were adjusted to correspond

2–4 y 143.00

5–7 y 143.00

Girls

8–11 y 143.00

12–15 y 143.00

16–17 y 143.00

Boys

8–11 y 143.00

12–15 y 143.00

16–17 y 143.00

Women

18–50 y 127.00

$51 y 127.00

Men

18–50 y 126.00

$51 y 126.00

Family of 4 465.00

Note: Data are from the US Department of Agriculture.[29](#_bookmark51)

to SNAP recipient age categories. [Table 3](#_bookmark16) shows how corresponding age groups were created.

In addition, monthly food costs for a family of 4 were estimated using the following family composition: a fam- ily of 4 consisting of 1 male and female (aged 18–30 years) and 2 chil- dren (aged 2–4 years and 5–7 years); and another family of 4 consisting of 1 male and female (aged 31–50) and

2 children (aged 8–11 years and 12–17 years). This composition was chosen to allow for a comparison with the USDA's Thrifty Food Plan, which used a similar composition for a family of 4.[19](#_bookmark41) In estimating the

monthly food costs for adults and a family of 4, the cost of labor in food preparation was taken into consid- eration, Because previous studies

# Data Analysis

Using the USDA's Economic Research Service Food Availability Data, the following MyPlate food groups were created: fruits, vegetables, protein, grains, and dairy.[28](#_bookmark50) Using federal die- tary guidelines for individuals based on age and gender and retail price data from the USDA, the cost of

following USDA's MyPlate guidelines was estimated for consuming 3 daily meals for the following individuals: children (aged 2–4 years), children (aged 5–7 years), girls (aged 8– 11 years), girls (aged 12–15 years), girls (aged 16–17 years), boys (aged 8–

11 years), boys (aged 12–15 years), boys (aged 16–17 years), female adults (aged 18–50 years), male adults (ages

showed that in addition to food costs, time to prepare meals is a constraining resource when consuming a healthy diet.[30](#_bookmark52) Davis and You[30](#_bookmark52) estimated that the actual cost share of food was 60% and the cost of labor for preparing foods accounted for 40% of the total

cost of food. This estimated cost share of food and labor was used ﬁrst to esti- mate the total monthly costs of adhering to a MyPlate diet and then to compare this monthly cost with the average monthly SNAP allow- ances by age and gender. The differ- ence between the cost of eating 3 meals daily according to MyPlate

Table 5. Scenarios Used to Calculate Cost of MyPlate Dietary Guidelines Based on Type of Fruit and Vegetables Consumed and Vegetarian Diet20

Fruits and Vegetables

Scenario Description

guidelines and the allowance pro- vided by SNAP for each age and gender category ([Table 4](#_bookmark17)) was then

Consumed (%) Protein

Beans Counted as

Vegetarian

estimated. In addition to estimating this cost difference, we also accounted for the beneﬁt reduction rate (BRR),

Scenario Fresh Frozen Canned

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 | No | No |
| 2 | 50 | 50 | 0 | No | No |
| 2a | 50 | 50 | 0 | Yes | No |
| 3 | 33 | 33 | 33 | No | No |
| 3a | 33 | 33 | 33 | Yes | No |
| 4 | 100 | 0 | 0 | No | Yes |
| 5 | 50 | 50 | 0 | No | Yes |
| 6 | 33 | 33 | 33 | No | Yes |

Vegetable

Diet

which is the percentage of food costs that individuals pay for on their own. Based on a previous study by Ziliak,[31](#_bookmark53) which estimated that with all of the formal deductions the BRR ranged from 15% to 20%, a BRR of 20% was used in the current study's analysis. Assuming a BRR of 20% and using the average gross income for all SNAP households of $786/mo

based on the USDA's 2015 report on SNAP characteristics, the BRR amount

*P*[a](#_bookmark20) .89

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Group | Age,y | 100% Fresh Fruits andVegetables (Scenario 1) ($) | 50% Fresh, 50% Frozen Fruitsand Vegetables (Scenario 2) ($) | 33% Fresh, 33% Frozen, 33% CannedFruits and Vegetables (Scenario 3) ($) |
| Children | 2–3 | 1.70 | 1.67 | 1.68 |
|  | 4–8 | 2.35 | 2.31 | 2.31 |
| Girls | 9–13 | 2.99 | 2.96 | 2.95 |
|  | 14–18 | 3.43 | 3.41 | 3.38 |
| Boys | 9–13 | 3.43 | 3.41 | 3.38 |
|  | 14–18 | 4.28 | 4.24 | 4.22 |
| Women | 19–30 | 3.84 | 3.79 | 3.79 |
|  | 31–50 | 3.43 | 3.41 | 3.38 |
|  | $51 | 2.99 | 2.96 | 2.95 |
| Men | 19–30 | 4.28 | 4.24 | 4.22 |
|  | 31–50 | 4.28 | 4.24 | 4.22 |
| $51þ | 3.84 | 3.79 | 3.79 |

a*P* for the 2-tailed test tested the difference in the costs of consuming 100% fresh fruits and vegetables (Scenario 1) and of consuming an equal portion of fresh, frozen, and canned fruits and vegetables (Scenario 3) across the different age and gender categories. The difference was not statistically significant at the 5% level.

Note: Data are from the US Department of Agriculture.[23–25](#_bookmark44)

was estimated to be: 20% $786

Table 6. Daily Cost of Consuming MyPlate Recommendations for Fruits and Vegetables Based on Scenarios 1–3

x ¼

$157.20. The additional cost needed for SNAP recipients to adhere to a nutritionally sound diet was esti- mated as follows: Additional cost [(MyPlate cost of food including la- bor) (SNAP monthly beneﬁts BRR), where the MyPlate monthly cost of food (including labor) equaled a monthly cost divided by 0.60; and the monthly costs and SNAP beneﬁts for a family of 4 were $658.84 and

¼

- þ

$465, respectively; and the BRR was

$157.20. Based on this formula, addi- tional costs for family of 4 with 2 adults (male and female aged 18–

30 years), 1 child (aged 2–4 years), and 1 child (aged 5–7 years)

¼ $1098.07 – ($465 þ $157.20)

¼ $475.87.

# Description of Scenarios

Although MyPlate guidelines encourage the intake of fresh, frozen, and canned fruits and vegetables, the authors esti- mated the cost of these separately because the ﬂavor quality varies among canned, frozen, and fresh fruits and veg- etables. Processing fruits and vegetables varies by commodity, and it is likely that processed forms of produce are accompanied by changes in ﬂavor qual-

ity, which can inﬂuence intake.[32,33](#_bookmark54)

Therefore, to estimate the cost of eating 3 daily meals according to MyPlate guidelines for individuals and families, 6 scenarios were created ([Table 5](#_bookmark18)). The ﬁrst scenario estimated the cost of adhering to a nutritionally sound diet, including labor cost, if the recommended daily consumption of fruits and vegetables were all sourced fresh. The second scenario estimated the cost of adhering to a nutritionally sound diet, including labor cost, if half of the daily recommended servings of fruits and vegetables (excluding beans) were sourced fresh and the other half were sourced frozen. Another variation of scenario 2 was created in which the daily recommended serv- ings of fruits and vegetables included beans (scenario 2a). Scenario 3 esti- mated the cost of adhering to a nutri- tionally sound diet, including labor cost, if one-third of the daily recommen- ded servings of fruits and vegetables (excluding beans) were sourced fresh, one-third were frozen, and one-third were canned. Similar to scenario 2, 2 variations of scenario 3 were calculated: 1 that did not include beans (scenario

3) and 1 that did (scenario 3a). Sce- narios 4–6 were similar to scenarios 1–3 but catered to a vegetarian diet in which the main source of protein consisted of beans instead of lean meat and eggs.

# RESULTS

[Table 6](#_bookmark19) shows the variation in daily costs of consuming fresh, frozen, and canned fruits and vegetables, by gender and age. Across all gender and age groups, consuming 100% fresh fruits and vegetables was the most expensive diet. Consuming an equal portion of fresh, frozen, and canned fruits and vegetables was the least expensive diet; however, these cost differences were not statistically signiﬁcant. [Table 7](#_bookmark21) shows the monthly cost required to support a MyPlate diet, including labor cost by age, gender, and family size for sce- narios 1–6. The monthly cost for a family of 4 with 2 adults (aged 31–50 years) and 2 older children (1 aged 8–11 years and the other 12–17 years) ranged from $1,249/mo in scenario 1 (when consuming only fresh fruits and vegetables) to $1,109/ mo in scenario 6 for a vegetarian diet consuming one-third fresh, frozen, and canned fruits and vegetables. Simi- larly, the monthly costs for a family of 4 with 2 adults (aged 19–30 years) and 2

younger children (1 aged 2–4 years and another aged 5–7 years) ranged from a high of $1,098/mo in scenario 1 to $930/mo in scenario 6. [Table 8](#_bookmark25) shows the additional monthly costs needed for SNAP recipients if they

Monthly Cost

Table 7. Monthly Cost ($) to Support MyPlate Diet Based on Scenarios

Age–Gender Groups Scenario 1 Scenario 2 Scenario 2a Scenario 3 Scenario 3a Scenario 4 Scenario 5 Scenario 6

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Children |  |
| 2–4 y | 88.34 | 87.50 | 85.54 | 87.69 | 84.58 | 81.17 | 80.33 | 80.52 |
| 5–7 y | 131.18 | 130.27 | 127.33 | 130.27 | 125.62 | 116.85 | 115.94 | 115.94 |
| Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8–11 y | 160.16 | 159.18 | 155.26 | 158.99 | 152.79 | 142.25 | 141.27 | 141.08 |
| 12–15 y | 175.84 | 175.14 | 170.24 | 174.49 | 166.73 | 157.93 | 157.23 | 156.57 |
| 16–17 y | 175.84 | 175.14 | 170.24 | 174.49 | 166.73 | 157.93 | 157.23 | 156.57 |
| Boys |
| 8–11 y | 175.84 | 175.14 | 170.24 | 174.49 | 166.73 | 157.93 | 157.23 | 156.57 |
| 12–15 y | 217.70 | 216.58 | 210.70 | 216.02 | 206.71 | 194.41 | 193.29 | 192.73 |
| 16–17 y | 217.7 | 216.58 | 210.70 | 216.02 | 206.71 | 194.41 | 193.29 | 192.73 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18–50 y[a](#_bookmark22),[b](#_bookmark23) | 305.08 | 303.33 | 295.17 | 302.72 | 289.78 | 273.73 | 271.98 | 271.37 |
| $51 y[b](#_bookmark23) | 266.93 | 265.30 | 258.77 | 264.98 | 254.65 | 237.08 | 235.45 | 235.13 |
| Men |
| 18–50 y[a](#_bookmark22),[b](#_bookmark23) | 355.48 | 353.62 | 343.82 | 352.68 | 337.17 | 318.17 | 316.30 | 315.37 |
| $51 y[b](#_bookmark23) | 317.10 | 314.77 | 306.60 | 314.62 | 301.68 | 284.25 | 281.92 | 281.77 |
| Families[b](#_bookmark23) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family of 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male (18–30 y) 1,098.07 | 1,038.68 | 1,012.55 | 1,037.91 | 996.53 | 938.31 | 931.19 | 930.42 |
| Female (18–30 y)1 child (2–4 y) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Family of 4 [b](#_bookmark23)Male (31–50 y) 1,249.15Female (31–50 y)1 child (8–11 y)1 child (12–17 y) | 1,243.2 | 1,208.9 | 1,239.47 | 1,185.16 | 1,119.27 | 1,113.32 | 1,109.58 |
| *P*[c](#_bookmark24) .96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 child (5–7 y)

aMonthly cost for women and men (aged 18–50 years) were estimated as average costs for men and women in the age groups

18–30 years and 31–50 years; bMonthly cost for women and men aged 18–50 years and aged $51 years and families of 4 included the cost of labor for food preparation, which accounted for 40% of total costs based on the estimates of Davis  et al.[30](#_bookmark52) MyPlate cost of food including labor was estimated as follows: monthly cost/0.6 for each age and gender category across scenarios; c*P* for the 2-tailed test tested the difference in monthly costs between the costs of consuming 100% fresh fruits and vegetables (scenario 1) and the costs of consuming an equal portion of fresh, frozen, and canned fruits and vegeta- bles (scenario 3) across the different age and gender categories. The difference was not statistically significant at the 5% level.

were to adhere to a nutritionally sound diet. The scenario with the largest addi- tional costs across all individuals by gender, age, and family size was sce- nario 1. The additional costs needed on an individual basis was the largest for boys aged 12–17 years ($75/mo) and men aged 18–50 years ($72/mo), because they consumed the largest quantity of food compared with all other gender and age groups.

Among families, a family of 4 with 2 adults (aged 31–50 years) and 2 chil- dren (1 child aged 8–11 years and another aged 12–17 years) were the most ﬁnancially vulnerable to covering the cost of healthy diets.

This type of family would need to incur an additional cost of $627/mo to eat a nutritionally sound diet. Simi- larly, a family of 4 with 2 adults (aged 18–30 years) and 2 younger children (1 aged 2–4 years and another aged 5–7 years) would need to incur an additional cost of $475/mo. Even in the lowest-cost scenario (scenario 6), the additional cost needed to support a healthy diet was still large: $487/mo for a family of 4 with 2 adults (aged 31–50 years) and 2 children (1 child aged 8–11 years and another aged 12–17 years); and $308/mo for a family of 4 with 2 adults (aged 31–50 years) and 2 older children (1

child aged 8–11 years and another aged 12–17 years). Similarly, in sce- nario 6, boys aged 12–17 years and men aged 18–50 years would need additional costs of $50/mo and $32/ mo, respectively, to eat a healthy diet.

# DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to esti- mate the total costs, including food and labor, required to support a My- Plate diet and the additional costs needed for SNAP recipients to adhere to a nutritionally sound diet. When taking into account the time it takes

Monthly Additional Costs Needed[a](#_bookmark26)

Table 8. Monthly Additional Costs ($) Needed to Support a MyPlate Diet Based on Scenarios

Age–Gender Groups Scenario 1 Scenario 2 Scenario 2a Scenario 3 Scenario 3a Scenario 4 Scenario 5 Scenario 6

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Children |  |
| 2–4 y | (23.30) | (55.50) | (57.46) | (55.31) | (58.42) | $61.83 | $62.67 | $62.48 |
| 5–7 y | (11.82) | (12.73) | (15.67) | (12.73) | (17.39) | $26.15 | $27.06 | $27.06 |
| Girls |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8–11 y | 17.16 | 16.18 | 12.26 | 15.99 | 9.79 | $0.75 | $1.73 | $1.92 |
| 12–15 y | 32.84 | 32.14 | 27.24 | 31.49 | 23.73 | 14.93 | 14.23 | 13.57 |
| 16–17 y | 32.84 | 32.14 | 27.24 | 31.49 | 23.73 | 14.93 | 14.23 | 13.57 |
| Boys |
| 8–11 y | 32.84 | 32.14 | 27.24 | 31.49 | 23.73 | 14.93 | 14.23 | 13.57 |
| 12–15 y | 74.70 | 73.58 | 67.70 | 73.02 | 63.71 | 51.41 | 50.29 | 49.73 |
| 16–17 y | 74.70 | 73.58 | 67.70 | 73.02 | 63.71 | 51.41 | 50.29 | 49.73 |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18–50 y[b](#_bookmark27),[c](#_bookmark28) | 20.88 | 19.13 | 10.97 | 18.52 | 5.58 | $10.47 | 12.22 | 12.83 |
| $51 y | (17.27) | (18.90) | (25.43) | (19.22) | (29.55) | (47.12) | (48.75) | (49.07) |
| Men |
| 18–50 y[b](#_bookmark27),[c](#_bookmark28) | 72.28 | 70.42 | 60.62 | 69.48 | 53.97 | 34.97 | 33.10 | 32.17 |
| $51 y | 33.90 | 31.57 | 23.40 | 31.42 | 18.48 | 1.05 | (1.28) | (1.43) |
| Family of 4[c](#_bookmark28) | 475.87 | 416.48 | 390.35 | 415.71 | 374.33 | 316.11 | 309.00 | 308.22 |

Male (18–30 y)

Female (18–30 y)

1 child (2–4 y)

1 child (5–7 y)

Family of 4[c](#_bookmark28) 626.95 621.00 586.7 617.27 562.96 497.07 491.12 487.39

Male (31–50 y)

Female (30–50 y)

1 child (8–11 y)

1 child (12–17 y)

aNumbers in parentheses indicate that monthly *Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program* (SNAP) allowance exceeds monthly cost; bMonthly costs for women and men (aged 18–50 years) were estimated as the average costs for men and women in the age group 18–30 and 31–50 years; cMonthly cost for women and men aged 18–50 and $51 years and families of 4 include the cost of labor for food preparation, which account for 60% of total costs based on estimates by Davis and You.[30](#_bookmark52) The My- Plate cost of food including labor was estimated as the monthly cost/0.60 for each age and gender category across scenarios. Additional cost was estimated as the MyPlate cost of food including labor minus (SNAP benefits BRR), where BRR 20% average monthly gross income of SNAP recipients. According to the US Department of Agriculture (2015), the average gross income for all SNAP households was $786/mo, and the monthly SNAP benefits were $465 for a family of 4, $127 for female adults, and $126 for male adults

¼ x

þ

Note: Data are from the US Department of Agriculture[29](#_bookmark51) and Davis and You.[30](#_bookmark52)

to prepare meals, the research found that the current levels of SNAP bene- ﬁts plus expected personal expendi- tures were not enough to cover the full cost of supporting a diet recom- mended by MyPlate. This analysis showed that after accounting for BRR, approximately 43% to 60% of the total monthly food cost including labor cost was covered by SNAP bene- ﬁts. The analysis revealed that for a family of 4 with 2 parents (aged 31–

50 years) and 2 older children (1 aged 8–11 years and the other 12– 17 years), the monthly cost of food

including the cost of labor ranged from a high of $1,249 (when consuming only fresh fruits and vege- tables) to a low of $1,109 for a vege- tarian diet consuming one-third fresh, frozen, and canned fruits and vegetables.

These estimates were higher than the 2016 USDA's low-cost food plan of $588/mo for a family of 4 ($147.60/wk for a family consisting of 2 adults aged 20–50 years and 2 chil- dren aged 2–3 years and 4–5 years).[19](#_bookmark41)

In addition, the current estimates were slightly lower than the USDA's

liberal food plan of $1,276/mo for a family of 4 ($294.50/wk for a family consisting of 2 adults aged 20–50 years and 2 children aged 6–8 years and 9– 11 years).[19](#_bookmark41) Several reasons for the dif- ferences in monthly cost could be that the USDA's food plans include other

foods such as gravies and sauces, cof- fee and tea, soft drinks, sugars and candies, and soup. In addition, the model used to calculate the various food prices plans was based on the lat- est food consumption trends and food prices. The estimation in this report did not include current food

consumption trends. Finally, the cur- rent analysis includes the cost of labor in food preparation.

Compared with the USDA's esti- mated cost of consuming MyPlate levels of fruits and vegetables (fresh, canned, frozen, dried, and 100% juice) of $2.10 to $2.60 per day, the fresh fruit and vegetable estimates (scenario

1) ranged from a low of $1.70 for chil- dren aged 2–3 years to a high of $4.28 for men aged 19–50 years.[22](#_bookmark44) Scenario 3 (which accounts for one-third fresh, frozen, and canned fruits and vege- tables) more closely resembles the USDA's fruit and vegetable cost esti- mates, with a low of $1.68 for children aged 2–3 years to a high of $4.22 for men aged 19–50 years. In addition, the USDA found that a family of 4

(with 2 parents aged 40 years and 2 children, one aged 8 years and the other aged 10 years) would need to spend $61.80/wk on fruits and vegeta- bles using the thrifty food plan to satisfy MyPlate dietary guidelines.[22](#_bookmark44) This is considerably less than the cur-

rent estimate of $90.02 for a family of 4 with 2 children of the same age using scenario 3. Several reasons for the cost difference might be that the USDA's cost estimates were from 2013 retail prices. Also, that model included prices for fresh, frozen, canned, dried, and juiced products.[22](#_bookmark44) This study had several limitations.

First, because the USDA's age cate- gories for MyPlate dietary recommen- dations were different from the SNAP recipient age categories, the age cate- gories in this study's model had to be adjusted. Because of this, the monthly cost projections could be slightly skewed. Second, 6 food cost scenarios were created that took into account sourcing fruits and vegetables from 100% fresh produce and a mix of fresh, frozen, and canned produce, as well as the cost of vegetarian diets. The authors recognized that individ- uals and families do not follow these simulated scenarios precisely. There- fore, this study's estimates from the 6 scenarios could vary from the true monthly costs that individuals spend on food.

This study calculated the cost of following MyPlate's dietary recom- mendations for all food groups (fruits, vegetables, grains, dairy, and protein),

including the cost of consuming fresh, frozen, and canned produce. The true costs, including food prices and labor, of adhering to the federal dietary guide- lines were calculated. Results from this analysis indicated that low-income families need to incur additional costs for healthy food consumption as rec- ommended by the federal dietary guidelines.

# IMPLICATIONS FOR RESEARCH AND PRACTICE

Since the 1970s, the US has experi- enced an increase in largely prevent- able chronic diseases, including obesity, type 2 diabetes, and heart dis- ease, that are associated with poor di- ets. It is estimated that the direct medical costs of heart disease in the

US account for nearly $270 billion annually.[34](#_bookmark55) Research showed that increased consumption of fruits and vegetables according to MyPlate guide- lines could prevent over 127,000 deaths annually from heart disease, and the value of this increased longevity would exceed $11 trillion.[35](#_bookmark56)

The *Supplemental Nutrition Assis- tance Program* is the largest federal food assistance program and is effec- tive at reducing food insecurity while also improving child and adult health outcomes.[9](#_bookmark35) Unfortunately, many fam- ilies receiving SNAP still report signiﬁ-

cant ﬁnancial barriers to purchasing healthy food with their beneﬁts.[14,15](#_bookmark38) Current federal proposed budget cuts to SNAP program decrease the amount of beneﬁts available for food purchases and fewer people would have access to the program.[18](#_bookmark40) An analysis using the most current data is needed to inform policy makers regarding the true costs of healthy eating so that they have up-to-date research when making pol- icy decisions. Data related to the cost of consuming a healthy diet are imper- ative to informing policy makers.
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